

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,629	08/29/2003		Yutaka Okamoto	8373.314US01	1677
23552	7590	09/01/2005		EXAMINER	
MERCHAI	NT & GC	OULD PC		DUNN, D	AVIDR
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3616	
				DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/652,629	OKAMOTO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David Dunn	3616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2003. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	relection requirement. f. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/29/03: 1/30/04:	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements filed August 29, 2003, January 30, 2004, and May 17, 2004 are acknowledged. See enclosed IDS form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida (6,786,505) in view of Cundill et al. (5,704,639).

Yoshida discloses an airbag device comprising: a housing case (3); a first airbag (11) for opening a cover of bag housing case (see Figure 3A); a second airbag (21) for mitigating an impact on an obstacle (see Figure 3D); and a bag pressure release mechanism (17) on the second airbag.

Art Unit: 3616

Yoshida does not show the release mechanism for opening the second bag when a pressure reaches a predetermined pressure.

Cundill et al. teaches an airbag with a bag pressure release mechanism (45; see Figures 3-4) for opening the inflated airbag when an internal pressure reaches a predetermined pressure (see Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yoshida with the teachings of Cundill et al. to provide the second airbag with the vent sealing member to better control the inflation of the airbag such that it would only vent once a certain pressure was obtained.

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer (5,496,059) in view of Cundill et al. (5,704,639).

Bauer discloses an airbag device comprising: a housing case (12; note: see also Figure 11); a first airbag (44; see Figure 2) for opening a cover of bag housing case (see Figure 3); a second airbag (see column 3, lines 34-40) for mitigating an impact on an obstacle.

Bauer does not show a release mechanism for opening the second bag when a pressure reaches a predetermined pressure.

Cundill et al. teaches an airbag with a bag pressure release mechanism (45; see Figures 3-4) for opening the inflated airbag when an internal pressure reaches a predetermined pressure (see Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bauer with the teachings of Cundill et al. to provide the second airbag with

Application/Control Number: 10/652,629 Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

the vent sealing member to better control the inflation of the airbag such that it would only vent once a certain pressure was obtained.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jenkins shows an airbag with a vent of interest.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Dunn whose telephone number is 571-272-6670. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Dünn Primary Examiner Art Unit 3616